

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P. D. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/16/2004

APPLICATION NO	. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,376	12/11/2003	Per Martin Claesson	C4276(C)	2311
201	7590 12/16/20	~ *	EXAMINER	
UNILEVI 700 SYLV	ER INTELLECTUAL AN AVENUE,	ASINOVSKY, OLGA		
BLDG C2 SOUTH			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ENGLEWOOD CLIFFS, NJ 07632-31		32-3100	1711	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				U
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	***
Office Action Summer		10/735,376	CLAESSON ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
~~~~~		Olga Asinovsky	1711	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
THE - External after - If the control of the contro	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutive to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutive to	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror e, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  ays will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communic  FD (35 U.S.C. & 133)	eation.
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 L	December 2003.		
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under a			s is
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
5) <u>□</u> 6) <u>⊠</u>	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		·
Applicati	on Papers			
	The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10)[_]	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •	
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document  application from the International Bureau  see the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment	• •	. 🗖		
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate	
3) 🔀 Inform Paper	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date <u>06/16 &amp; 03/11/04</u> .	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/735,376

Art Unit: 1711

Page 2

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 2. Claim 21 provides for the use of a graft copolymer, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-6 and 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nick et al U.S. Patent 3,985,700.

Art Unit: 1711

Nicks discloses a condensation polymer particles used as a dispersant. A condensation polymer comprising a polymethylmethacrylate backbone and polyethylene glycol grafted onto a said backbone polymer, column 11, lines 59-62 and column 12, lines 39-43. The polymethylmethacrylate is readable in applicants' claimed (a) backbone. The polyethylene glycol is readable in applicants' claimed (b) hydrophilic side chain. Nicks discloses that a dispersant can contain ammonium or organic amine salt to a change pH of the solution, column 7, lines 59-61. The desired component that can control pH of the solution is readable in applicants' claimed (c) component, for the present claim 1. Also, reference discloses that a dispersant can be formed from a backbone polymer from polymethyl methacrylate-co-dimethylaminoethyl methacrylate and polyethylene glycol side chain, column 12, lines 39-41. This dispersant is readable in applicants' claimed graft copolymer in the present claims 10-11.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kud et al U.s. Patent 4,846,994 in view of Kothrade et al U.s. Patent 6,075,107.

Art Unit: 1711

Kud discloses a detergent comprising a graft polymer produced by grafting polyalkylene oxides (a) with at least one vinyl ester (b), column 1, lines 55-65. The polyalkylene oxides include hydrophilic ethylene oxide that is readable in applicants' claims. The polyethylene oxide can have a number average molecular weight of from 300 to 100,000, column 1, line 57, for the present claims 4-7. The graft polymerization is carried out in the presence of organic peroxides, column 2, line 65.

Kud does not disclose the applicants' claimed component (c).

Kothrade discloses the composition that is a copolymer comprising an N-vinylpyrrolidone main polymer chain and vinyl esters of aliphatic C8 to C30 carboxylic acids, column 1 line 59 through column 2, line 8, and comonomer such as diethylaminoethyl methacrylate, column 2, line 64, and/or a pH control agent such as aqueous ammonia, column 2, line 50.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify a detergent composition in Kud's invention by employing an addition comonomer such as diethylaminoethyl methacrylate and/or aqueous ammonia as disclosed by Kothrade for the purposes to control-regulate the pH value of the resulting detergent, and because any additional additive would be expected for the formulation of said detergent composition in Kud, and thereby, obtain the claimed invention.

#### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The closest prior art have been considered.

Application/Control Number: 10/735,376

Art Unit: 1711

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Olga Asinovsky whose telephone number is 571-272-1066. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

0, K Dec. 13,2004 Olga Asinovsky Examiner Art Unit 1711

James J. Seidleck Supervisory Patent Examinar Technology Center 1700